

NATURALISTIC INTERVENTIONS FOR YOUNG CHILDREN WITH DISABILTIES



Literature Brief

This is a product of the Early Childhood Personnel Center (ECPC) awarded to the University of Connecticut Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities and was made possible by Cooperative Agreement #H325B170008 which is funded by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs.

However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government. 263 Farmington Avenue, Farmington, CT 06030-6222 • 860.679.1500 • infoucedd@uchc.edu ©2024 University of Connecticut Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities Education, Research and Service. All rights reserved.

BACKGROUND

Naturalistic interventions are the use of intentional and systematic strategies to instruct young children with disabilities during everyday ongoing activities and routines. Over the years, many terms (names) have been used to describe or refer to naturalistic interventions including incidental teaching, embedded instruction, naturalistic instruction, milieu teaching, transition-based teaching, and activity-based intervention. The purpose of this overview is to provide a summary of systematic reviews of naturalistic interventions for young children under the age of five years old who have or are at risk for delays or disabilities.

METHODS

This synthesis is an overview of reviews of naturalistic interventions for young children with disabilities. To complete this overview, we searched electronic databases and other sources for published articles that contained reviews of studies on naturalistic interventions for young children under the age of five years old who have or are at risk for disabilities or developmental delays. We then synthesized the findings across the reviews to formulate conclusions on the effects of the interventions.

RESULTS

We searched the literature in December 2023 for systematic reviews of naturalistic interventions for young children under the age of five years old who have, or are at risk for disabilities or developmental delays. We located seven reviews with 102 publications involving over 900 young children with delays or disabilities. Most of the studies were conducted in inclusive preschool classrooms during free play or center-time activities.

KEY FINDINGS ACROSS REVIEWS

The reviews showed greater than 90% of children gained new skills through naturalistic interventions. These skills were often related to communication or play. Many studies reported the new skills were maintained over time and generalized to new behaviors, people, or settings. A summary of the findings across reviews is shown in Table 1 below. Collectively, the findings from this overview provides additional evidence of the positive and robust effects of the intervention for most young children with or at risk of disabilities or delays.

Review	Research	Target Skills	Participants Reported to Have Acquired Target Skill(s) at	Participants Reported to	Participants Reported to
	design		Primary Endpoint	Have Shown Generalization	Have Shown Maintenance
Rakap	SCD (<i>u</i> = 15)	PA/C (<i>u</i> = 9)	55 of 60 (92%) participants from 15 SCD studies	12 of 15 (80%) participants	17 of 18 (94%) participants
(2011)		L/C (<i>u</i> = 5)		from 5 SCD studies	from 6 SCD studies
<i>u</i> = 16		M/A (u = 4)			
		S-E (<i>u</i> = 4)			
Rakap	SCD (<i>u</i> = 15)	L/C (<i>u</i> = 14)	66 of 70 (94%) participants from 15 SCD studies	27 of 34 (79%) participants	25 of 25 (100%) participants
(2014)		S-E (<i>u</i> = 1)		from 8 SCD studies	from 5 SCD studies
<i>u</i> = 15					
Snyder	SCD (<i>u</i> = 40)	PA/C (<i>u</i> = 18)	207 of 211 (98%) participants from 40 SCD studies and 3	47 of 50 (94%) participants	56 of 61 (92%) participants
(2015)	GD (<i>u</i> = 3)	L/C (<i>u</i> = 26)	GD studies	from 18 SCD studies	from 20 SCD studies
<i>u</i> = 43		M/A (<i>u</i> = 12)			
		S-E (<i>u</i> = 8)			
Lane	SCD (<i>u</i> = 12)	L/C (<i>u</i> = 24)	4 of 6 (67%) SCD studies using demonstration designs	n/r	n/r
(2016)			meeting WWC standards showed "strong effects"		
$u = 24^{1}$					
			3 of 6 (50%) SCD studies using comparison designs		
			meeting WWC standards showed "differentiated effects"		
Dubin	SCD (<i>u</i> = 7)	L/C (<i>u</i> = 25)	20 of 25 (80%) participants from 7 SCD studies with	Some evidence in 5 of 7	Some evidence in 3 of 7
(2020)	GD (<i>u</i> = 13)		"sufficient rigor"	(71%) SCD studies	(43%) SCD studies
$u = 25^{2}$					
			7 of 13 (54%) GD studies with "sufficient rigor" showed	Some evidence in 11 of 13	Some evidence in 2 of 13
.			small to large positive effect sizes	(85%) GD studies	(15%) GD studies
Gulboy	SCD(u = 10)	PA/C (u = 5)	21 of 21 (100%) participants from 10 SCD studies	20 of 20 (100%) participants	8 of 8 (100%) participants
(2023)		L/C(u = 5)		from 9 SCD studies	from 4 SCD studies
<i>u</i> = 10		M/A(u=3)			,
Lane	SCD (<i>u</i> = 23)	L/C (u = 34)	4 of 4 (100%) participants from 1 SCD study	3 of 4 (75%) participants	n/r
(2023)		S-E (<i>u</i> = 19)		from 1 SCD study	
u = 38°					

Table 1. Child Participant Target Skill Acquisition Reported in Reviews of Naturalistic Interventions for Young Children with Disabilities

Note: SCD = single case design; PA/C = pre-academic/cognitive; L/C = language/communication; M/A = motor/adaptive; S-E = social-emotional; GD = group design; WWC = What Works Clearinghouse; ¹ – 12 SCD studies met WWC standards with or without reservations and were synthesized for review (11 SCD studies and 1 GD study did not meet standards); ² – 7 SCD studies and 13 GD studies had "sufficient rigor" and were synthesized for review (4 SCD and 1 GD study did not meet standards); ³ – 1 SCD study met rigor standards and were synthesized for review (22 studies did not meet rigor standards)

REFERENCES

- Dubin, A. H., & Lieberman-Betz, R. G. (2020). Naturalistic interventions to improve prelinguistic communication for children with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 7, 151-167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-019-00184-9</u>
- Gulboy, E., Yucesoy-Ozkan, S., & Rakap, S. (2023). Embedded instruction for young children with disabilities: A systematic review and meta-analysis of single-case experimental research studies. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 63, 181-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2022.12.014
- Lane, J. D., Graley, D., Shepley, C., & Lynch, K. M. (2023). Systematic review of naturalistic language interventions in schools: Child- and adult-level outcomes for verbal communication. *Remedial and Special Education*, 44(4), 319-331. <u>https://doi.org/10.11177/07419325221125887</u>
- Lane, J. D., Lieberman-Betz, R., & Gast, D. L. (2016). An analysis of naturalistic interventions for increasing spontaneous expressive language in children with autism spectrum disorder. *The Journal of Special Education*, 50(1), 49-61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466915614837</u>
- Rakap, S. & Parlak-Rakap, A. (2011). Effectiveness of embedded instruction in early childhood special education: A literature review. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal, 19*(1), 79-96. https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2011.548946
- Rakap, S., & Rakap, S. (2014). Parent-implemented naturalistic language interventions for young children with disabilities: A systematic review of single-subject experimental research studies. *Educational Research Review, 13,* 35-51. https://doi.org/10.10116/j.edurev.2014.09.001
- Snyder, P. A., Rakap, S., Hemmeter, M. L., McLaughlin, T. W., Sandall, S., & McLean, M. E. (2015). Naturalistic instructional approaches in early learning: A systematic review. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 37(1), 69-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1053815115595461</u>